

Sacramento County Redistricting Public Comment and Mapping Options

November 2, 2021

Doug Johnson, Doug Yoakam

Introduction

What we are doing today:

- 1) Summary of online comments
- 2) Summary of input on neighborhoods
- 3) Look at the Public's Submitted Maps
- 4) Look at Draft Maps
- 5) Public Comments
- 6) Direction from Supervisors

Redistricting Rules and Goals

1. Federal Laws

- **Equal Population**
- **Federal Voting Rights Act**
- **No Racial Gerrymandering**



2. California Criteria for Counties

1. **Geographically contiguous**
2. **Undivided neighborhoods and “communities of interest”**
(Socio-economic geographic areas that should be kept together)
3. **Cities and CDP’s**
4. **Easily identifiable boundaries**
5. **Compact**
(Do not bypass one group of people to get to a more distant group of people)

Prohibited Considerations:

“Shall not favor or discriminate against a political party.”



3. Other Traditional Redistricting Principles

- **Minimize voters shifted to different election years**
- **Respect voters’ choices / continuity in office**
- **Future population growth**
- **Preserving the core of existing districts**

Summary of On-line and Workshop Comments

- Rancho Murieta belongs in District 5 and Gold River belongs in District 3.
- Keep unincorporated communities such as Fair Oaks, Foothill Farms and Carmichael together.
- Describing the Caselman Ranch community, with borders on Elk Grove-Florin Road and Caselman Drive. Expressed desire to remain in District 2.
- Describing West Arden Arcade, its differences from Arden Oaks, Sierra Oaks, and Arden Manor, and desire to keep it together.
- Gold River in Dist. 4 is not compact. Rosemont and Gold River belong with Rancho Cordova, and the old Cordova Planning area should be kept together.
- The LGBTQ community is a community of interest, and maps will be submitted showing the location.

LGBTQ+ Community of Interest



Maps received from Alan LoFaso

Neighborhoods

We received maps suggesting the boundaries of the following neighborhoods:




- 1) Vineyard
- 2) Historic Rancho Cordova
- 3) Logical Rancho Cordova
- 4) West Arden-Arcade
- 5) Tahoe Park (Tahoe Park Neighborhood Association Land Use Committee)
- 6) North Oak Park
- 7) Franklin Area
- 8) Antelope (we are not Citrus Heights)
zip code request

Draft Maps

- Eight public map submissions
 - ▣ Three from Andres Ramos
 - ▣ Two from Sophia Cardenas
 - ▣ Three other DistrictR submissions
 - (DistrictR does not ask for a name or contact information)




- Three NDC map options

Andres Ramos Draft Maps




Note: In the DistrictR tool, users do not set District numbers (they are automatically assigned by the software, somewhat randomly). So we do not believe the changes in district numbers represent intentional proposals to change the district numbering scheme. We simply ran out of time to confirm that with the submitter prior to the deadline to post the maps.

Other Public Map Submissions




Note: In the DistrictR tool, users do not set District numbers (they are automatically assigned by the software, somewhat randomly). So we do not believe the changes in district numbers represent intentional proposals to change the district numbering scheme. We simply ran out of time to confirm that with the submitter prior to the deadline to post the maps.

Sofia Cardenas Draft Maps






107



108


Note: In the DistrictR tool, users do not set District numbers (they are automatically assigned by the software, somewhat randomly). So we do not believe the changes in district numbers represent intentional proposals to change the district numbering scheme. We simply ran out of time to confirm that with the submitter prior to the deadline to post the maps.

NDC Drafts




NDC Drafts

201




NDC Drafts

202



NDC Drafts

203



©2018 CALIPER

Next Steps

1. Public and Board discussion of Draft Maps
2. Possible Board selection of 1 to 4 “focus” maps
3. Board direction on any desired map revisions or new maps

Remaining Timeline

Date	Event
November 2, 2021 6:05 p.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First Map review hearing • Review draft maps • Review public comments • Review maps submitted by the public • Consider comments from the public <p>Board Action: Provide feedback and direction on maps</p>
November 6, 2021 1:00 p.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Virtual Community Workshop • Receive public input on draft maps
November 16, 2021 6:05 p.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Second map review hearing • Review draft map • Consider comments from the public <p>Board Action: Select preferred map or direct additional changes</p>
November 17, 2021 2:00 p.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review draft map • Consider comments from the public <p>Board Action: Select final map and direct staff to return for adoption on December 7, 2021</p>
December 7, 2021 2:00 p.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider comments from the public <p>Board Action: Adopt resolution to implement final map</p>